

# Considerations on Dimensions

Zygmunt Morawski

ABSTRACT: The existence of the  $n$ -dimensional and  $2^n$ -dimensional spaces has been discussed. One has called the particular attention to the  $D=6$  spaces. One has touched the meaning of the mixing the loops. In the end the existence of the totally complex coordinates has been proved.

There are the space-times:  $D=6$  (compactification  $D=10$  equal 4 dimensions), the space-time  $D=11$  (compactification  $D=11$  equal 4 dimensions),  $D=8$  (compactification  $D=11$  equal 3 dimensions or enlargement  $D=4$ ) [1].

Every small natural number is a dimension of certain space-time.

There are massless particles in the supermembrane. In the  $D=11$  supermembrane there are massless states containing graviton [2].

There are 16-dimensional  $SO(9)$  spinors – eight two-component spinors. The Clifford algebra can be represented on  $128_B + 128_F$  dimensional spinor of  $SO(16)$  [2], so there are  $2^6$  dimensions.

In  $D=10$  space-time the heterotic string is dual to the heterotic five-brane.

In  $D=6$  it would be dual to another heterotic string. So the  $D=6$  space-time exists too [3].

The  $D=6$  space-time is treated by authors as an unimportant result (the compactification of  $D=10$  space-time), but I am of the opinion that there can be a further enlargement of the  $D=5$  Kaluza-Klein space with the next dimension permitting to enlarge this conception with the next interactions.

The process of the string–five-brane duality mixes up the string loops with the five-brane loops [3].

It suggests that the loops are fundamental objects and they are mixed in the process of duality [3].

$D=4$  heterotic string theory (0,1,2,3) is the four-dimensional space-time,

$z = x_2 + ix_3 = r^{i\theta}$  (4,5,6,7,8,9) are the compactified directions [4].

It confirms that one should take the superposition of the real and purely complex space-time coordinate, so as one should take under considerations all solutions of the equation:

$$m = \frac{m_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$

similarly as Dirac didn't reject the negative root from "Pythagorean theorem".

References:

- [1] M. J. Duff, R. Minasian, E. Witten, Nuclear Physics B 465 (1996), p. 413-438
- [2] I. Bars, Ch. N. Pope, E. Sezgin, Physics Letters B, vol. 198 no 4; 3. December 1987
- [3] M. J. Duff, J. X. Lu, Nuclear Physics B 357 (1991), 534-564
- [4] M. J. Duff, S. Feraro, Ramzi R. Khuri, J. Rahmfeld, Physics Letters B 356 (1995) 479-496, 24. August 1995